EXHIBIT 21

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION NO. 97-4678-CI-11

ROBERT TUNE,		-				
Plaintiff,	,		FI	LED		
1 161111111,		•	1 8			
/ ,			MAY	2 4 2002		
PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORAT a foreign corporation,	ED,		KARLEE	N.F. De BLAKER PROUIT COURT		
Defendant.		Ar.	ALT DAY	Company Clerk		
	<u>VERDICT</u>			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
We, the jury, return the fo	ollowing verdict:					
1.a. Was there negligence on the part of Defendant Philip Morris Incorporated, for not providing adequate warnings to Robert Tune prior to July 1, 1969, which was a legal cause of loss, injury or damage to Plaintiff Robert Tune?						
Yes	· ·	No	<u>X</u>			
1.b. Was there negligence on the part of Defendant Philip Morris Incorporated for failing to exercise reasonable care in the design of its products, which was a legal cause of loss, injury or damage to Plaintiff Robert Tune?						
Yes		No	<u>×</u>			
2.a. Were the Marlboro cigarettes manufactured by Defendant Philip Morris Incorporated defective for not providing adequate warnings to Robert Tune prior to July 1, 1969, which was a legal cause of loss, injury or damage to Plaintiff Robert Tune?						
Yes	description of the state of	No	X			
2.b. Were the Marlboro cigarettes manufactured by Defendant Philip Morris Incorporated defectively designed as a result of a failure to perform as an ordinary consumer would have expected, which was a legal cause of loss, injury or damage to Plaintiff Robert Tune?						
Yes		No	<u>X</u>			
2.c. Were the Marlboro cigarettes manufactured by Defendant Philip Morris Incorporated defectively designed as a result of the risk of danger in their design outweighing the benefits, which was a legal cause of loss, injury or damage to Plaintiff Robert Tune?						
Yes		No	X			
		Exhibit 2	Z(Pac	122		

conspi regard	Did Philip Morris Incorporated with racy and based upon the conspirating the effect of cigarettes upon healt ge to Plaintiff Robert Tune?	y fraudulently mis	repre	esent material facts
	Yes	N	0	<u>X</u> /
conspi the eff	Did Philip Morris Incorporated with iracy and based upon the conspiracy fect of cigarettes upon health, which wit Tune?	raudulently concea	al mai	terial facts regarding
	Yes	N	0	_X_
Incorp return	r answers to all of the above are "No corated and you should proceed no fur it to the courtroom. If you answered e.c., 3.a. or 3.b. please answer all the	ther except to sign "Yes" to any of the	and ques	date your verdict and stions 1.a., 1.b., 2.a.,
4.	What is the amount of any damages sexpenses in the past and medical ex	sustained by Plainti penses to be susta	ff Rol iined	pert Tune for medical in future years?
		\$	····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5.	What is the amount of any damages suffering, disability, physical impinconvenience, aggravation of a disetthe enjoyment of life in the past and	airment, disfigure ase or physical de	emen fect o	t, mental anguish, or loss of capacity for
		\$		<u></u>
6.	Under the circumstances in this owarranted against Defendant Philip I			unitive damages are
	Yes	١	No.	
· .	SO SAY WE ALL THIS 24 day o	MAY W	_, 20	02.
	Fo	reperson Doubule	5 W	. Oscrob

Exhibit 21, Page /23

Smokefree	Network	Login:	ISTGN	HP1
~111012C11 CC	14 C T AA OI 16		[wrwin	~ 1

LOGIN vour email (Forgot Password?) **Email** Password

Collections **Profiles** More

Recent Searches

Jump to: CHOOSE A COLLECTION:

Home People

Tune v. Philip Morris Inc.

Organizations

(Personal Injury FL 6th Cir. Ct. 1997 Def. Verdict)

Operations

Case

Additives Hypothesis

Demographics

Design Components

Smoke Constituents

This individual personal injury suit was brought by Robert M. Tune against Philip Morris on July 9, 1997.

The plaintiff alleged that his injuries were latent illnesses discovered after and because of many years of exposure to cigarette smoking. He began smoking in 1943 and continued except for three years of military service until mid-July, 1994. He quit following a surgery to treat laryngeal cancer. In August 1998, he had another surgery for a separate cancer of the lung. The plaintiff claimed negligence, strict liability, defective design, failure to warn, misrepresentation, and conspiracy to commit actual and constructive fraud.

The defendant argued that Robert M. Tune's lung cancer was bronchioloalveolar carcinoma (BAC) and therefore not proven to be caused by smoking. The defendant also argued that Tune's throat cancer was caused by esophegeal reflux and alchohol use.

The case was heard in the Circuit Court of the Six Judicial Circuit in and for Pinellas County, Florida (Circuit Civil No. 97-4678-CI-13), before the Honorable Anthony Rondolino. On March 4, 1998, the judge dismissed the plaintiff's conspiracy to commit constructive fraud claim. The plaintiff sufficiently pled that a fiduciary relationship existed by alleging addiction, but failed to indicate whether the defendant acted after the beginning of that addiction to advise or counsel the plaintiff. The judge granted the plaintiff 20 days to amend the pleadings. On February 10, 1999, the judge granted the defendant's motion for summary final judgment. He determined that the proper law to apply to the suit was New Jersey's. The plaintiff had smoked for 44 years in New Jersey, but only four and a half in Florida. New Jersey only had a two year statute of limitation counted from the date of reasonable discovery. Except as to lung cancer, the plaintiffs claims fell outside of this time frame, and were therefore barred. Also, the health risks of smoking were commonly known, so the defendant was not liable for such risks. The judge issued final judgment in favor of the defendants. The plaintiff appealed.

The Circuit Court for the Sixth Judicial Circuit in and for Pinellas County affirmed the verdict on September 24, 2002.

> Home | Collections | Profiles | Other Resources © 1999-2009 Tobacco Documents Online

> > Exhibit 21, Page/24